APPENDIX F

USDA FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FORM (FORM CPA-106)

United States Department of Agriculture



Natural Resources Conservation Service Federal Building, 200 Fourth St. SW Huron, South Dakota 57350 Phone: (605) 352-1200 Fax: (605) 352-1261

March 13, 2007

Mr. Quinn Damgaard HDR One Company 8404 Indian Hills Drive Omaha, Nebraska 68114-4098

RE: Prime and Important Farmlands, Watertown South Connector Project [SDDOT Project IM 0297(01) PCN 000Y] Segment 2A.

Dear Mr. Damgaard:

We have reviewed the site map and completed the Farmland Conversion Impact form for Segment 2A of the Watertown South Connector Project.

The project does cross prime and important farmlands. The total rating points for the five alternatives range from 70 to 41. Because these are all less than the threshold value of 160, this project will have no significant impact on prime or important farmlands in Codington County. I am returning your copy of the Farmland Conversion Rating form for this project.

Sincerely,

JEROME M. SCHAAR

State Soil Scientist

Enclosure

cc: Arlene Brandt-Jenson, DC, NRCS, Watertown FO

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE Natural Resources Conservation Service

NRCS-CPA-106 (REV.3-02)

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS

Name of Project: Watertown South Connector – Segment 2A Proposed Land Use: Improved Road Corridor and associated ROW		3. Date Of Land Evaluation Request: 02-13-07		
2. Proposed Land Use: Improved Road Corridor and associated ROW	Connector - Segment 2A 5. Federal Agency Involved		Sheet 1 of 1	
	6. County and State: Codington County, South Dakota			
PART II (To be completed by NRCS)	1. Date Request Receive	ed By 2. Person	By 2. Person Completing Form:	
3. Does the comider contain prime; unique statewide of local important fair	nland? YES NOW		10 a frie many Werage Farm Size in the state of the state	
with the Majoric Coles in the control of the contro	introduntstan de sur estas estas introductiones de la contraction	in librario AMOCIN CULTARIO IANA ella felale renda di Perindi di alla simuni di ali più rigila di producti di aliana mandina i mpiana di ripiana di aliana di Amerika di Amerika di Amerika di Amerika ella sindania di Amerika di Amer	As Defined in Epparago and the many controls and the second state of the second state	
### Name of Land Evaluation System along the production of the pro	SIGN ASSESSMENT SYSTEM AND THE PROPERTY OF THE		most the state of the first indicated which the state of	
PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)			lors For Segment, 2A	
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly		Options 1 and 2 31.12; 34.87	Options 3, 4, 5 27,48; 26.51; 30.69	
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly		_		
C. Total Acres In Site		31.12; 34.87	27.48; 26.51; 30.69	
PARTIN COOPE COMPRESCO DE LA CONTRESCO DE LA C				
wild An CONCRETE PLANE AND UNIQUE - ANNA AND UNIQUE - AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND			de beinder in die man der die die de Rei andere Acte defendlijk aus Gegener das Reis alste de de de de de de de de de	
BLIJUBLASES SEIEWIS INDORANI PRISOLE IMPORANT PARTIE PARTIE PRISOLE IN PROPERTY PARTIE		id in his man and the property of the property	nion alternities data. Adeler Gildhill, and a contract and a series	
C. Permanentalise of Farmland in County or Local Sovi 11/11 To BE Convented in the control of the control of the county of the c		on the property of the propert	the limit of the control of the cont	
D. Percentage Of Pamiland II South Unstituted With Same Of Higher Relative and the Control of th	արագագրալ որույն հայարարական արգրանի արդանական արգրանին արգրանական հայարական հայարական արգրանի արգրանին արգրան Հայարական արգրանական արգրանական արգրանին արգրանական արգրանական արգրանական արգրանական արգրանական արգրանական արգ	I INDEED TO A CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P	and the advantage of the plan	
PARTY (Toba Completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Criterion Programme Relative Value of Familiand To be Converted (Scale of to 100 Point	From the property of the prope	15 Interpret and American Interpret and Inte	Annual transport of the state o	
PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Assessment Criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5 b & c. For Non-Corridor project use for	ang Harden	Options 1 and 2	Options 3, 4, 5	
1. Area in Non-urban Use	(15)	12	12	
2. Perimeter In Non-urban Use	(10)	10	10	
Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed	(20)	12	6	
Protection Provided By State and Local Government	(20)	0	0	
5. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average	(10)	1	1	
Creation Of Non-farmable Farmland	(25)	0	0	
7. Availability Of Farm Support Services	(5)	5	5	
8. On-Farm Investments	(20)	5	0	
Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services		0	0	
10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use		0	0	
TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS		45	34	
PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)				
	100	22; 25	16;18;7	
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)	160	45:45		
Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or local site assessment)	100		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or local site assessment) TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)	260	67: 70	34;34;34 50: 52: 41	
Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or local site assessment) TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)		4. Was A Local Site Asse	50: 52:41	